Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG)

LAKE COUNTY · PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT OFFICE

125 E. Erie Street · Painesville, OH 44077 Phone (440) 350-2740 · Fax (440) 350-2606

GRANT APPLICATION REVIEW FORM

Applicant Organization:	
Amount Requested:	

CATEGORY	TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS	TOTAL POINTS EARNED
1. Project Information	12	
2. Fair Housing Narrative	4	
3. Statement of Need	8	
4. Project Narrative	24	
5. Goals and Objectives	16	
6. Budget	12	
7. Schedule	4	
8. Overall Assessment	16	
Internal Controls	4	
Past Performance (Points deducted for negative performance)	0	
TOTAL	100	

Each of the above categories contains questions assigned a point value. The point scaled is divided into five columns labeled I, II, III, IV, and V. The applicant's response to each question is evaluated on the criteria below. P&CD staff will award or deduct points based on internal controls and past performance.

- **I.** Absent: The application does not address the specific question or a response was not provided.
- **II.** Unsatisfactory: The application does not completely address the question. Information presented does not provide a good understanding of applicant's intent, does not give detailed information requested, or does not adequately support the proposal or the intent of the program.
- **III.** Satisfactory: The application addresses the question, providing a good understanding of the applicant's intent. Response adequately supports the application and the intent of the program.
- **IV.** Above Average: The response is above average, providing a clear and detailed understanding of the applicant's intent. The response presented a persuasive argument supporting the application and the intent of the program.
- **V.** Excellent: The response is outstanding, with clear, detailed and relevant information. The response presented a compelling argument supporting the application and the intent of the program.

LAKE COUNTY · PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT OFFICE

125 E. Erie Street · Painesville, OH 44077 Phone (440) 350-2740 · Fax (440) 350-2606

	ı	II	III	IV	V
1. Project Information (12 points)	0	1	2	3	4
a. How well do identified outcomes reflect the					
impact the project will have on its target					
audience?					
b. To what extent will the applicant be able to					
contribute to the project in terms of					
community/agency leverage?					
c. How well does the applicant describe specific					
data needed to qualify for funding?					
2. Fair Housing Narrative (4 points)	0	1	2	3	4
a. How well does the applicant describe its					
ability to meet AFFH obligations?					
3. Statement of Need (8 points)	0	1	2	3	4
a. How well does the applicant describe the					
current needs to be addressed by the project?					
b. How well does the applicant describe the					
numbers and characteristics of the target					
beneficiaries?					
4. Project Narrative (24 points)	0	1	2	3	4
a. How well does applicant describe project					
activities?					
b. How well does applicant describe its ability to					
keep accurate records?					
c. To what extent will this project impact the					
community and support overall goals of the					
community?					
d. To what extend does the applicant obtain					
community investment through its partnerships					
or other type of involvement in project					
development?					
e. How well does the applicant detail who will					
be responsible for performing project					
management?					
f. How well does the applicant describe the					
experience level of the individuals performing					
project management, speaking to its capacity to					
complete the project?			<u> </u>		

Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG)

LAKE COUNTY · PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT OFFICE

125 E. Erie Street · Painesville, OH 44077 Phone (440) 350-2740 · Fax (440) 350-2606

5. Goals/Objectives (16 points)	0	1	2	3	4
a. How well does applicant describe the overall					
goals of the project?					
b. How well do project goals align with the					
strategic plan as outlined in the Consolidated					
Plan?					
c. Are objectives clearly stated, realistic, and					
measurable?					
d. Do project objectives support the					
achievement of the project goals?					
6. Project Budget (12 points)	0	1	2	3	4
a. How well do project estimates explain all					
costs necessary to support project activities and					
the achievement of project objectives?					
b. How well do budget line items support the					
project activities and the achievement of					
project objectives?					
c. To what extent is funding delivered directly to					
the beneficiaries versus					
administrative/operating costs?					
7. Project Schedule (4 points)	0	1	2	3	4
a. How well do project milestones explain all					
activity necessary to complete the project					
within the program year?					
8. Overall Assessment (16 points)	0	1	2	3	4
a. How well does this application support the					
overall intent, goals, and purpose of the CDBG					
program as it relates to the Consolidated Plan?					
b. How well does the applicant describe its					
ability to fulfill federal project requirements, i.e.					
Davis Bacon, Section 3, Procurement, etc.					
c. To what extent does applicant rely on					
evidence-based research in the project or					
program design and proposed implementation?					
d. To what extent is applicant able to proceed					
with the project based on the applicant's level					
of readiness?					

Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG)

LAKE COUNTY · PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT OFFICE

125 E. Erie Street · Painesville, OH 44077 Phone (440) 350-2740 · Fax (440) 350-2606

Internal Controls (+4 points): Applicant has the ability to manage a federally funded project, as indicated by responses on the application for assistance.	
Past Performance (-4): Applicant has prior history of negative administrative and programmatic	
performance.	I
 Did not have capacity to carry out service 	
 Program/Project was not completed during program year 	I
 Funds were not expended during program year 	
 Projected outcomes were not accomplished 	I
Reporting requirements were not met	I
Federal directives were not followed	<u> </u>

TOTAL APPLICATION SCORE (100 Possible)				
Reviewer Name				
Review Date				

Reviewer Comments: